Treason: NDAA and Intelligence Act Bill Implements Israeli Takeover of United States Through Military and Intelligence Integration

DR. JOSEPH SANSONE
JUN 19, 2026

44 27 17 Sh

The alleged rift between Trump and Netanyahu over Israeli criminal bombings in Lebanon that assisted in derailing the peace process with Iran, appears to be politic theater to distract from the current attempt by Israel to overthrow the United State government. If Trump and Vance were really upset with Israel, then President Trur would state clearly that he will veto the National Defense Authorization Act (NDA/ Section 219 remains (formerly 224), and the Intelligence Act Bill if Section 622 remained.

Mind Matters and Everything Else with Dr. Joseph Sansone is a reader-supported publication. To receive new posts and support my work, consider becoming a free or paid subscriber.

Type your email... Subscribe

NDAA Section 219 creates a permanent "Defense Technology Cooperation Initiativ between the United States and Israel. This section mandates the integration of U.S. and Israeli defense research, development, testing, and production across highly sensitive domains, specifically including artificial intelligence, autonomous weapor systems, cyber capabilities, and biotechnology. Section 219 also establishes a joint institutional framework, an empowered Executive Agent at the Pentagon with

precedence authority over other DoD components, and a permanent cooperative structure that operates outside the standard arms export control framework of the Arms Export Control Act and the Foreign Assistance Act.

Israel is not even a NATO ally, has not signed the NATO Status of Forces Agreeme is not a member of the Five Eyes intelligence alliance, and is not a formal treaty ally the United States. Yet Section 219 mandates a level of defense industrial and technological integration that exceeds what the United States maintains with its formal treaty allies.

Section 622 of the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2027 mandates the expansion of intelligence sharing between the United States and Israel across multi intelligence domains, including signals intelligence (SIGINT), human intelligence (HUMINT), and geospatial intelligence (GEOINT). Section 622 does not merely authorize intelligence sharing, it requires it. The provision mandates that the Direc of National Intelligence, the CIA Director, and the NSA Director expand and maint intelligence sharing with Israeli counterpart agencies.

Section 622 restricts the President's ability to suspend or reduce this intelligence sharing absent a specific, narrowly defined national security waiver, a restriction th transforms a discretionary executive function into a statutory obligation and impos procedural burdens on the President's exercise of his core foreign affairs authority. Section 622 contains no warrant requirement, no probable cause standard, no minimization procedures for United States person information, and no judicial oversight mechanism of any kind.

This great betrayal of America is highlighted by the DIA's "Critical" Espionage Thı Assessment. The United States Defense Intelligence Agency has formally assessed threat of Israeli espionage against United States persons and defense personnel as "critical", the highest threat level in the DIA's assessment framework. The DIA's assessment documents specific incidents in which Israeli intelligence surreptitious installed surveillance software on the devices of United States defense personnel. T

DIA's "critical" threat assessment is the official finding of the United States government's own premier military intelligence agency. It is not a partisan allegatic or an advocacy claim. It is the government's own authoritative conclusion about the threat that Israeli intelligence poses to American citizens and American national security. Despite this official assessment, Sections 622 and 219 mandate that the United States permanently share intelligence with, and integrate its military systen into, the Israeli defense establishment, without any safeguards against the use of th intelligence to surveil or target American citizens.

Israel openly targets and associates private citizens it deems a threat. It has also engaged in hostilities against the United States. The USS Liberty is a clear example The risk of armed conflict and lethal engagement between United States and Israel forces is not a hypothetical proposition, it is a matter of historical record. On June 8 1967, Israeli aircraft and torpedo boats attacked the USS Liberty, a clearly marked United States Navy intelligence vessel operating in international waters. The attack killed 34 American servicemembers and wounded 171 others. The attack demonstra that the constitutional capacity to respond to hostilities with Israel must be preserv not structurally surrendered.

This historical precedent is compounded by a contemporary pattern of lethal force against Americans. Since 2022, at least nine United States citizens have been killed Israeli forces or settlers. None were charged with any crime, afforded any judicial process, or provided any opportunity to contest the basis for the use of lethal force against them. None of the perpetrators have faced criminal prosecution.

There is of course the likely involvement or knowledge of the Mossad on Septembe 11, 2001, World Trade Center attacks.

This pattern of conduct, the killing of American citizens without due process, with criminal charges, and without accountability, is not a series of isolated incidents. It a documented, ongoing practice by the foreign government with which the United States is being statutorily required to integrate its intelligence and military systems

Article II, Section 1 of the Constitution vests the entirety of the executive power in President. The management of foreign intelligence relationships, including the decision of what intelligence to share, with whom, and under what conditions, is a core executive function that falls within the President's exclusive constitutional authority. Forcing future Presidents to share intelligence with Israel violates this ba Constitutional principle.

Article II, Section 2, Clause 2 of the Constitution provides that the President "shall have Power, by and with the Advice and Consent of the Senate, to make Treaties, provided two thirds of the Senators present concur." The Framers designed this supermajority requirement to ensure deliberate, broad-based consensus before the United States undertakes binding international commitments. Although, I would argue that the framers of the Constitution never envisioned such lunacy and that ev a treaty can not ratify treason.

Both Section 622 and Section 219 create permanent, institutionalized, and structura integrated international cooperation frameworks with a foreign sovereign that are, substance and practical effect, binding international commitments of the kind the Treaty Clause was designed to govern. They were enacted by simple majority vote without Senate ratification by a two-thirds supermajority.

Article I, Section 8, Clause 11 of the Constitution vests in Congress the exclusive power to "declare War." This constitutional grant of power is structural and belong each successive Congress. The Supreme Court has routinely upheld this principle. This Congress can not bind a future Congress in this manner. Congress is effectivel prospectively nullifying a future Congress's ability to exercise its Article I war powe

In the event of a conflict, such as the documented June 8, 1967 Israeli attack on the USS Liberty that killed 34 American servicemembers, a congressional declaration o war would require the United States to simultaneously shut down shared AI system sever real-time intelligence feeds, disable integrated missile defenses, and identify

Israeli-origin components in U.S. programs of record. This disentanglement would take years and leave the United States militarily paralyzed in the interim.

The Fifth Amendment provides that no person shall be "deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law." The Supreme Court has recognized that the I Process Clause "specially protects those fundamental rights and liberties which are objectively, deeply rooted in this Nation's history and tradition." The right not to be killed by the government, or by a foreign government acting with U.S. government assistance, without charge, trial, or judicial process is the most deeply rooted such right.

Mandating the sharing of intelligence and the integration of targeting-capable systems with a foreign government (Israel) that has killed at least nine American citizens since 2022 without charge, trial, or judicial process, a statutory scheme tha creates a substantial and foreseeable risk of enabling the deprivation of life withou due process of law, in violation of the Fifth Amendment is being created. These statutes use the government's authority to create a mandatory intelligence sharing framework that provides Israeli intelligence with an opportunity to identify, surveil and potentially target American citizens.

The Fourth Amendment protects "the right of the people to be secure in their perso houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures." These statutes empower Israeli intelligence, without any warrant requirement, probable cause standard, minimization procedures for U.S. person information, or judicial oversight mechanism. This mandatory sharing of comprehensive intelligence data with a foreign government that is an active espionage threat against Americans constitutes an unreasonable search within the meaning of the Fourth Amendment

Section 622's mandatory intelligence sharing regime imposes a severe and objective reasonable chilling effect on Plaintiff's members' First Amendment activities. Americans can reasonably fear that their communications, associations, and advoca will be shared with Israeli intelligence, a foreign intelligence service that the DIA h

formally assessed as a "critical" espionage threat against Americans and that has a documented record of targeting and killing individuals who are publicly critical of Israeli government conduct.

Israel will operate outside of judicial oversite and with no restrictions afforded by t Bill of Rights. No FOI request. No accountability. Israel is bribing, blackmailing, ar intimidating members of Congress to move this legislation.

It is not enough that these bills get stopped. Those promoting the bills and funding them must be investigated for treason against the United States of America.

The only way this attempted overthrow of the United States does not occur is if members of both Houses of Congress and the Executive branch fear American citiz more than they do Israeli mobsters. On the 250th year anniversary of the Declaratio Independence paragraph two needs to be reviewed.

I am severely shadow banned. Share my articles please.

Dr. Joseph Sansone is a psychotherapist opposed to psychopathic authoritarianism.

Mind Matters and Everything Else is 100% independent. If you appreciate my writing and advocacy and would like to support it, please consider upgrading to a paid subscription or making a donation of any amount. Donations may be sent c/o Joseph Sansone, 27499 Riverview Center Boulevard, Bonita Springs, Florida 34134, United States, or make an onl donation on Ko-Fi or donate Bitcoin.

Mind Matters and Everything Else with Dr. Joseph Sansone is a reader-supported publication. To receive new posts and support my work, consider becoming a free or paid subscriber.

Type your email... Subscribe

44 Likes · 17 Restacks

← Previous

Discussion about this post

Comments Restacks

Write a comment...

Crixcyon 1d

Liked by Dr. Joseph Sansone

The utterly wacko DC Cesspool has gone fully off its rocker.

LIKE (6) REPLY

2 replies

Bruce 1d

Liked by Dr. Joseph Sansone

Thank you for bringing awareness to this development. Most people have no idea what's going o behind the veil. After all, to the masses ignorance is bliss.

LIKE (6) REPLY

25 more comments...

© 2026 Joseph Sansone, M.S., PhD · Privacy · Terms · Collection notice
Substack is the home for great culture